


**Conservation Service** 

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



USDA

California Revised	l Storie Index (CA	)
--------------------	--------------------	---

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Component name (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
144	Hillgate clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Grade 3 - Fair	Hillgate, clay loam (85%)	36.8	24.2%
150	Arbuckle sandy loam, 1 to 5 percent slopes	Grade 1 - Excellent	Arbuckle, sandy loam (85%)	22.8	15.0%
			Westfan, loam (9%)		
185	Riverwash	Not rated	Riverwash (95%)	0.6	0.4%
188	Westfan Ioam, clay substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Grade 1 - Excellent	Westfan, loam, clay substratum (80%)	0.4	0.3%
			Westfan, loam (10%)		
sandy loam, 0	Arand very gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	Grade 2 - Good	Arand, very gravelly sandy loam (85%)	21.2	13.9%
			Westfan, gravelly loam (10%)		
193 Westfan gravelly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	loam, 0 to 2	Grade 2 - Good	Westfan, gravelly loam (80%)	70.0	46.1%
			Arand, very gravelly sandy loam (5%)		
		Mallard, clay loam (4%)			
Totals for Area of Interest				151.8	100.0%

## Description

The Revised Storie Index is a rating system based on soil properties that govern the potential for soil map unit components to be used for irrigated agriculture in California.

The Revised Storie Index assesses the productivity of a soil from the following four characteristics:

- Factor A: degree of soil profile development
- Factor B: texture of the surface layer
- Factor C: steepness of slope

- Factor X: drainage class, landform, erosion class, flooding and ponding frequency and duration, soil pH, soluble salt content as measured by electrical conductivity, and sodium adsorption ratio

Revised Storie Index numerical ratings have been combined into six classes as follows:

- Grade 1: Excellent (81 to 100)
- Grade 2: Good (61 to 80)
- Grade 3: Fair (41 to 60)
- Grade 4: Poor (21 to 40)
- Grade 5: Very poor (11 to 20)
- Grade 6: Nonagricultural (10 or less)

The components listed for each map unit in the accompanying Summary by Map Unit table in Web Soil Survey or the Aggregation Report in Soil Data Viewer are determined by the aggregation method chosen. An aggregated rating class is shown for each map unit. The components listed for each map unit are only those that have the same rating class as the one shown for the map unit. The percent composition of each component in a particular map unit is given to help the user better understand the extent to which the rating applies to the map unit.

Other components with different ratings may occur in each map unit. The ratings for all components, regardless the aggregated rating of the map unit, can be viewed by generating the equivalent report from the Soil Reports tab in Web Soil Survey or from the Soil Data Mart site. Onsite investigation may be needed to validate these interpretations and to confirm the identity of the soil on a given site.

## **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Lower